

Solicitation #RFQ2016-01
Airport Consulting Services
Selection Committee Evaluation Form

EVALUATOR: Beverly Berry

Each Evaluation Criteria is weighted with a weight of 5 - 25 and each criteria explained in more detail on pages 18-20 in the Evaluation section of the Solicitation. Please Score each weighted criteria on a rating scale of 0-5. The Rating Guidelines are as follows:

<i>Evaluation Rating Guidelines</i>	* Highest Score = 5
5 - Exceptional	The submission exceeds expectations, excellent probability of success in achieving all objectives. Very innovative.
4 - Good	Very good probability of success. Achieves all objectives in reasonable fashion.
3 - Acceptable	Has reasonable probability of success. Some objectives may not be met.
2 - Poor	Falls short of expectations and has a low probability of success.
1 - Not acceptable	Submission fails to meet requirements and the approach has no probability of success.
0 - Non Responsive	Information/documentation provided is not adequate for evaluation

<i>FIRM:</i>		<i>Hanson Professional Services</i>	<i>Hole Montés, Inc.</i>
<i>EVAl CRITERIA</i>	<i>Weight</i>	<i>Score 0 - 5</i>	<i>Score 0 - 5</i>
Compliance with RFQ instructions	5	5	5
Technical Expertise	20	5	5
Quality Control	10	5	5
Staff Credentials	15	5	5
Related Experience with Similar Projects and/or the City of Arcadia	25	5	5
Location	10	4	5
References	15	4	5

<i>EVALUATION COMMENTS:</i>	<i>COMMENTS ARE REQUIRED</i>
Hanson Professional Services:	Very nice Package. It was difficult to determine team members locations to determine availability. Hanson received more 4's (Goods) on References.
Hole Montes, Inc.:	2 offices located in SW FL were identified. Package was very thorough.

SIGNATURE OF EVALUATOR: Beverly Berry

EVALUATOR: CHASE


Each Evaluation Criteria is weighted with a weight of 5 - 25 and each criteria explained in more detail on pages 18-20 in the Evaluation section of the Solicitation. Please Score each weighted criteria on a rating scale of 0-5. The Rating Guidelines are as follows:

Evaluation Rating Guidelines	* Highest Score = 5
5 – Exceptional	The submission exceeds expectations, excellent probability of success in achieving all objectives. Very innovative.
4 – Good	Very good probability of success. Achieves all objectives in reasonable fashion.
3 – Acceptable	Has reasonable probability of success. Some objectives may not be met.
2 – Poor	Falls short of expectations and has a low probability of success.
1 – Not acceptable	Submission fails to meet requirements and the approach has no probability of success.
0 – Non Responsive	Information/documentation provided is not adequate for evaluation

FIRM:		Hanson Professional Services	Hole Montes, Inc.
EVAL CRITERIA	Weight	Score 0 - 5	Score 0 - 5
Compliance with RFQ instructions	5	5	5
Technical Expertise	20	4	5
Quality Control	10	4	5
Staff Credentials	15	4	5
Related Experience with Similar Projects and/or the City of Arcadia	25	5	5
Location	10	4	5
References	15	3	5

EVALUATION COMMENTS:	COMMENTS ARE REQUIRED
Hanson Professional Services:	<i>Their packet contained a lot of Duplicate information</i>
Hole Montes, Inc.:	<i>I feel their STAFF is excellent and we should take advantage of it.</i>

SIGNATURE OF EVALUATOR:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "A. J. B. O.", written over a horizontal line.

**Solicitation #RFQ2016-01
Airport Consulting Services
Selection Committee Evaluation Form**

EVALUATOR: Shelley Peacock

Each Evaluation Criteria is weighted with a weight of 5 - 25 and each criteria explained in more detail on pages 18-20 in the Evaluation section of the Solicitation. Please Score each weighted criteria on a rating scale of 0-5. The Rating Guidelines are as follows:

<i>Evaluation Rating Guidelines</i>	<i>* Highest Score = 5</i>
5 – Exceptional	The submission exceeds expectations, excellent probability of success in achieving all objectives. Very innovative.
4 – Good	Very good probability of success. Achieves all objectives in reasonable fashion.
3 – Acceptable	Has reasonable probability of success. Some objectives may not be met.
2 – Poor	Falls short of expectations and has a low probability of success.
1 – Not acceptable	Submission fails to meet requirements and the approach has no probability of success.
0 – Non Responsive	Information/documentation provided is not adequate for evaluation

<i>FIRM:</i>		<i>Hanson Professional Services</i>	<i>Hole Montes, Inc.</i>
<i>EVAL CRITERIA</i>	<i>Weight</i>	<i>Score 0 - 5</i>	<i>Score 0 - 5</i>
Compliance with RFQ instructions	5	5	5
Technical Expertise	20	5	5
Quality Control	10	4	5
Staff Credentials	15	5	5
Related Experience with Similar Projects and/or the City of Arcadia	25	5	5
Location	10	5	5
References	15	5	5

<i>EVALUATION COMMENTS:</i>	<i>COMMENTS ARE REQUIRED</i>
Hanson Professional Services:	Very hard decision, Hanson has been a wonderful team. Mike & Gemma's always helpful. Staff changes & Turnover concern me.
Hole Montes, Inc.:	Previous Projects interest me. Oh, confident they can help with new projects and achieve our future plans from our Airport Master Plan.

SIGNATURE OF EVALUATOR: Shelley Peacock

**Solicitation #RFQ2016-01
Airport Consulting Services
Selection Committee Evaluation Form**

EVALUATOR: Glenn D. Walker

Each Evaluation Criteria is weighted with a weight of 5 - 25 and each criteria explained in more detail on pages 11 section of the Solicitation. Please Score each weighted criteria on a rating scale of 0-5. The Rating Guidelines are as

Evaluation Rating Guidelines	Highest Score = 5
5 - Exceptional	The submission exceeds expectations, excellent probability of success in achieving all objectives.
4 - Good	Very good probability of success. Achieves all objectives in reasonable fashion.
3 - Acceptable	Has reasonable probability of success. Some objectives may not be met.
2 - Poor	Falls short of expectations and has a low probability of success.
1 - Not acceptable	Submission fails to meet requirements and the approach has no probability of success.
0 - Non Responsive	Information/documentation provided is not adequate for evaluation.

FIRM:		Hanson Professional Services	Hole Montes, Inc.
Eval Criteria	Weight	Score 0 - 5	Score 0 - 5
Compliance with RFQ Instructions	5	4	4
Technical Expertise	20	4	4
Quality Control	10	4	4
Staff Credentials	15	4	4
Related Experience with Similar Projects and/or the City of Arcadia	25	4	4
Location	10	4	4
References	15	4	4

EVALUATION COMMENTS:	COMMENTS ARE REQUIRED
Hanson Professional Services:	<i>They have done well so far and see no reason to change at this point.</i>
Hole Montes, Inc.:	<i>I think we need to consider them for other projects for our City.</i>

**Solicitation #RFQ2016-01
Airport Consulting Services
Selection Committee Evaluation Form**

SIGNATURE OF EVALUATOR:

Glenn D. Walker